

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,287	02/25/2002	Gu Xu	30108-DIV1	1219
2500,	7590 03/25/2003	COLLINS	ÉXAMI	NER
HOVEY WILLIAMS TIMMONS & COLLINS 2405 GRAND BLVD., SUITE 400 KANSAS CITY, MO 64108			BERMAN, SUSAN W	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	/
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· .		116				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/084,287	XU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan W Berman	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 21-50 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-50</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 21-38, drawn to a combination of a substrate and an image layer obtained from a composition comprising a polymer as set forth in claim 21, classified in class 430, subclass 007.

Claims 39-50, drawn to a method forming a color filter, classified in class 427, subclass II. 510.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as a method for depositing the image layer and forming pixels that does not require exposure to UV light and/or baking at the temperatures set forth in claim 39 and/or curing the developed layer at the temperature set forth in claim 39. It is the examiner's position that the substrate having an imaged layer thereon could be provided by heating or by exposure to ionizing radiation, for example.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, and vice-versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 36 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The use of the abbreviation "PGMEA" renders the claims indefinite. The abbreviation should be replaced with the full chemical name of the compound.

Double Patenting

Claims 21-50 of this application conflict with claims 21-50 of Application No. 10/164856. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,287 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 21-50 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as

that of claims 21-50 of copending Application No. 10/164856. This is a provisional double patenting

rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

It is noted that applicant has submitted a Declaration concerning sale of samples of compositions

comprising the instantly claimed polymer. Applicant's Attorney, Tracy Bornman, indicated that applicant

will file the Declaration in the instant application for consideration of the "on sale" issue.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Susan W Berman whose telephone number is 703 308 0040. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James

Seidleck can be reached on 703 308 2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for

After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

Susan W Berman Primary Examiner

Susan Berma

Art Unit 1711

SB

March 21, 2003